

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ROCK HILL DIVISION

Yashine Kamal Brown,)	C.A. No. 9:08-2227-TLW
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
Columbia Care Center, et. al,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

The Plaintiff brought this *pro se* civil action against the Defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Plaintiff is a patient at the Columbia Care Center, and his claims center around his treatment after a verbal disagreement with an officer.

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed July 17, 2008, by United States Magistrate Judge George Kosko, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Kosko recommends that the Plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Plaintiff has not objected to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

In light of this standard, the Court has carefully reviewed the Report and has concluded that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 8), and Plaintiff's claim is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

October 23, 2008
Florence, South Carolina